STUDY PROTOCOL





L. E. S. Hardeman^{1*}, D. J. Geerse¹, E. M. Hoogendoorn¹, J. Nonnekes^{2,3} and M. Roerdink¹

Abstract

Background Clinical guidelines for people with Parkinson's disease (pwPD) stress that, complementary to pharmacological treatment, exercise and physiotherapy should be given a central role in disease management. Adhering to regular exercise of the right type, and with high repetition, remains a challenge for pwPD. Exergaming has the potential to increase adherence through play and personalised interventions, both in clinic and at home. Reality DTx[®] is an augmented-reality (AR) home-based gait-and-balance exergaming intervention specifically designed for pwPD as an extension of supervised physiotherapy. The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the feasibility and potential efficacy of Reality DTx[®].

Methods Twenty-four pwPD (Hoehn and Yahr stages 2–4) with self-reported gait and/or balance impairments will participate in this study. The study comprises a 6-week waitlist-controlled AR home-based therapeutic gait-and-balance exergaming intervention. Reality DTx[®] will initially be prescribed remotely for a minimum of 5 days a week for 30 min per day. We will remotely set and adjust the frequency, difficulty, type of games, and/or duration weekly, based on objective and subjective data from the AR glasses and participant, respectively. In addition to the home-based gait-and-balance exergaming intervention, the study comprises three laboratory visits: before the 6-week waitlist period (t0; baseline), before the 6-week intervention period (t1; pre-intervention), and after the 6-week intervention period (t2; post-intervention). The primary study parameters are feasibility (in terms of safety, adherence, and user experience) and potential efficacy for improving gait and balance (using standard clinical gait-and-balance tests and a targeted walking-related fall-risk assessment). Recruitment started in December 2022 and the final post-intervention assessment will be according to planning in July 2023.

Conclusions This clinical feasibility trial is the first remotely prescribed and monitored home-based AR gait-andbalance exergaming intervention for pwPD. The results in terms of clinical feasibility (i.e. safety, adherence, and user experience) and potential efficacy (gait, balance, and fall-risk outcomes) form the basis for future randomised controlled studies on the effectiveness of home-based AR gait-and-balance exergaming interventions for pwPD.

Trial registration ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT05605249. Registered on 4 November 2022.

*Correspondence: L. E. S. Hardeman I.e.s.hardeman@vu.nl Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/A.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Keywords Parkinson's disease, Augmented-reality, Clinical feasibility, Home-based therapy, Rehabilitation, Exergaming, Remote monitoring, Gait, Balance

Background

For people with Parkinson's disease (pwPD), gait-andbalance impairments are common and disabling. Gait impairments are varied across pwPD but are typically continuous in nature (shuffling, slow, and asymmetrical gait pattern) and can also become episodic when the disease progresses (i.e. freezing of gait) [1, 2]. Balance impairments often lead to falls related to retropulsion or stumbling, a relative inability to make sufficiently large compensatory balance-correction steps and alter body position effectively [2–4]. These motor symptoms are related to a loss of independence and a decreased quality of life [2, 5, 6].

Clinical guidelines addressing gait-and-balance impairments stress that, alongside pharmacological treatment, physiotherapy and exercise should be given a central role in disease management [7-11]. Exercise can be described as a planned, structured, repetitive, and purposeful physical activity to maintain one or more components of physical fitness, such as strength or balance [10, 12]. Different forms of exercise, ranging from isolated training of specific motor skills to multifaceted exercise like dance or boxing, have repeatedly been shown to improve both motor and non-motor symptoms [6, 12–17]. Current clinical guidelines agree that exercise interventions for pwPD should target multiple components, including gait and balance, endurance, strength, flexibility, and functional-based training like getting up from a chair [7-11], and be specifically designed for pwPD to address their motor symptoms [17].

The augmented-reality (AR) exercise intervention proposed in this clinical feasibility study protocol is specifically designed to address gait-and-balance impairments of pwPD and does that in a personalised and accessible manner. This is much needed as doing regular exercise remains a challenge for pwPD. A way to promote gaitand-balance exercise and increase adherence is to provide a personalised gait-and-balance intervention [18–20] according to the FITT principles [21] that offers exercises at the right Frequency, Intensity, of the right Type and Time (i.e. duration). Novel supporting technologies, like AR glasses, may be exploited to increase adherence by (i) providing individually tailored treatment (e.g. through the FITT principles), (ii) allowing for (online) remote monitoring of therapy adherence and performance (e.g. when delivered in a home setting), (iii) making treatment more accesible (e.g. available at any time when delivered in a home setting) and (iv) motivating users through play and instant rewarding feedback [22-25]. AR (sometimes referred to as 'mixed reality') is an immersive technology that merges real and digital worlds. Through AR glasses, like Magic Leap and Microsoft's HoloLens (Fig. 1A and B), the real world can be augmented with spatial-aware digital objects (a.k.a. holograms) while-in contrast to virtual-reality (VR)-the real world remains fully visible via see-through lenses (Fig. 1C). The HoloLens has already been successfully and safely used previously in pwPD to target goal-directed movement with visual cues [26, 27]. In light of the potential of AR as an immersive technology to deliver, personalise, monitor, and promote



Fig. 1 Augmented-reality (AR) glasses (Magic Leap 2 (**A**) and HoloLens 2 (**B**)) for performing gait-and-balance exercises at home using Reality DTx[®] therapeutic exergames. In (**C**), 'Smash' is illustrated, an AR boxing game promoting weight shifts, dynamic balance, turning, and walking with direct feedback on task duration, number of required punches, and number of smashed holograms like the depicted vase)

exercises remotely at home, Reality DTx[®] was developed by Strolll Limited [28].

Reality DTx[®] is specifically designed for pwPD to improve their gait and balance, both in clinic and at home, with AR exercises being gamified, personalised, and accessible (at any time) to maximise adherence. Hence, Reality DTx[®] can be regarded as AR therapeutic exergaming intervention, a combination of gait-andbalance exercises and gaming delivered through wearable AR glasses. Taking into account the low adherence to prescribed exercises by PD physiotherapists in standard care [20], a home-based AR gait-and-balance exergaming intervention like Reality DTx® might improve the ease of accessibility to therapeutic exercises and therefore increase exercise adherence, help achieving the prescribed required number of repetitions, and potentially its effect through personalised interventions (e.g. following FITT principles), motivational feedback, and remote monitoring by the therapist.

In this clinical feasibility study, we evaluate a 6-week remotely prescribed and monitored home-based AR gaitand-balance exergaming intervention for pwPD (Reality DTx[®]). The primary objective is to evaluate its feasibility (in terms of safety, adherence, and user experience [usability and acceptability]) and potential efficacy for improving gait and balance (in terms of standard clinical and laboratory-based gait-and-balance test outcomes and targeted walking-related fall-risk indicators). The secondary objective is to examine AR glasses' superiority (i.e. potential differences between Magic Leap 2 and Holo-Lens 2 subgroups) in those regards. In this study protocol, we outline the methods used to reach both objectives and discuss potential implications for future research and clinical practice.

Methods

Trial design

This clinical feasibility trial protocol is designed as a waitlist-controlled 6-week home-based AR gait-and-balance exergaming intervention, in which the type of AR glasses (Magic Leap 2, HoloLens 2) will be counterbalanced over participants (Table 1). All participants will start with a 6-week waitlist period, followed by the 6-week homebased AR therapeutic gait-and-balance exergaming intervention Reality DTx[®]. Baseline (t0), pre-intervention (t1), and post-intervention (t2) repeated measurements will be conducted to compare within-participant Reality DTx[®] intervention effects against usual care (i.e. does t2-t1 differ from t1-t0?) while controlling for potential learning effects in outcomes over repeated measurements (i.e. does t1-t0 systematically deviate from 0?). Between-group comparisons will be performed to evaluate potential AR glasses superiority (i.e. does t2-t1 differ between HoloLens 2 and Magic Leap 2 subgroups)? Feasibility of the Reality DTx[®] intervention will be examined in terms of safety, adherence, and user experience (i.e. usability and acceptability), including an evaluation of AR glasses superiority in that regard.

Intervention

Reality DTx[®], a class I CE marked medical device, is a software application for AR glasses HoloLens 2 and Magic Leap 2. In the proposed study, participants will follow a 6-week AR therapeutic exergaming intervention (Reality DTx[®]) comprising five complementary gait-andbalance exercises, as detailed in Table 2 (see Additional file 6 for videos of the gait-and-balance exergames). In accordance with the clinical guidelines [7–11], participants will initially be invited to use Reality DTx[®] for 30 min per day for a minimum of 5 days a week, in their home environment. Participants are instructed that they are allowed to train more if they wish.

All five gait-and-balance games will initially be set at a 3-min duration and participants are instructed to complete them twice. The initial difficulty levels and game modes (see Table 2) will be adjusted to the participants' gait-and-balance competence levels, as evaluated at t0 and t1. The exergaming schedule will be further personalised on a weekly basis applying shared decision-making. That is, personalisation is based on remotely monitored therapeutic exergame adherence and performance scores as well as participant-reported feedback from semi-structured weekly telephone calls (e.g. enquiring about adherence, performance, and (serious) adverse events (AE), including (near) falls and potential physical problems, as detailed below and in Additional file 3). This will result in a personalised remotely prescribed gait-and-balance exergame schedule for the next week for which frequency (number of prescribed therapeutic exergame sessions per week), intensity (by varying the difficulty level and/ or game mode; see Table 2), type (type of game), and/ or time (duration per game and/or therapy session) may be varied. The personalised exergaming schedule will be accessible for the participant through the AR glasses at any time during the day. Participants are free to play all prescribed gait-and-balance games in a single session or to divide them into so-called exercise snacks over the day. After finishing the prescribed exergaming schedule on a day, participants unlock bonus 'exercise snacks' in the socalled free-play mode, enabling participants to perform additional AR gait-and-balance exergames of their liking if they wish to. Participants receive direct feedback on gait-and-balance exergame performance during the game (e.g. number of moles hit, number of items smashed, number of buttons hit; see Table 2) and total scores upon game completion (i.e. in relation to personal high scores).

TIMEPOINTS:

ENROLMENT: Glasses allocation

Eligibility screen

Informed consent INTERVENTION: Waitlist period Reality DTx[®] intervention ASSESSMENT: Home Medication

Timepoints of data collection

the tim	e points	, enrolm	ent, wait	tlist and	interven	tion perio	od, and t
-t0	-t0	t0 baseline assessme nt (labora- tory visit 1)	t1 pre- interven- tion assessme nt (labora- tory visit 2)	Home visit	t2 post- interven- tion assessme nt (labora- tory visit 3)	+t2	
	x						
X (screening phone call)		X (safety assess- ment)					
		x					
				•			
		x					
		x	x		x		
		x	x		x		
		x	x		x		
		x					
		X (part I and II)					
					x		
					x		
					x		
-	-	60	30	-	30	-	

Table 1 Illustrates the (duration of) assessments

	PDQ-39			х	х		х	
	ABC			х	х		х	
	FES-I			х	х		х	
	Falls history			х				
	MDS-UPDRS			X (part I and II)				
	User-Experience Questionnaire						х	
	Reality DTx® evaluation questionnaire						х	
	Intrinsic motivation questionnaire						х	
	Duration (min)	-	-	60	30	-	30	-
Lab	Demographics			х				
	MoCA			х				
	MDS-UPDRS			X (part I, III, IV)	X (part III)		X (part III)	
	NFOGQ			х	х		х	
	PASE			х	х		х	
	TUG			х	х		х	
	Mini-BESTest			х	х		х	
	FTSTS			х	х		х	
	LPAS			х	х		х	
	IWW			x	х		х	
	10 MWT			x	х		х	
	Duration (min)	-	-	120	90	-	90	-
	Total duration laboratory assessments (min)			180	120	120	120	-
Lab/by telepho ne	Weekly telephone calls					x x x	x x	
	Reality DTx [®] semi-structured evaluation interview							x
	Duration (min)					20 minut	es per call	60

Table 2 Description of the five AR gait-and-balance Reality DTx[®] exergames, including available game statistics

- Reality DTv® game	Description of the same	Game statistics		
iconty bix Bame	A goal directed walking avagame to	In-game feedback		
Mole Patrolli	Tain gait initiation, dynamic balance, turning, stopping and strength (when performed in squar mode). The goal is to stomp as many moles as possible by appear, and stomping on them either with both feet or squarting on them (a game-mode setting) before they disappear. Mole appearance duration reduces over difficulty levels to make the game more challenging.	In-game feedback Number of moles caught, distance walked Post-game feedback Number of moles caught SOLE PATROLL SOLE PATROLL Web portal feedback Prescribed and active minutes Meters walked		
Smash	A boxing exergame to train gait, dynamic balance, weight shifting and turning. The goal is to smash as many items as possible from two plinths as they appear, demanding alternate left- right punches to promote weight shifting, with available items alternating between the plinths is adjustable (ranging from 2 to 10 meters) and so is the number of required punches before the items drop from the plinth, (ranging from 2-40 over difficulty levels) to make the game more challenging.	In-game feedback Number of Items smashed, number of prescribed and performed punches Post-game feedback Number of Items smashed SMASH STATE STAT		
Hot Buttons	A dynamic reaching, exergame to train functional reaching, reaction time and dynamic balance. The goal is to press the button that lights up as quickly as possible before it disappears. Available buttons are presented in rows of three, stacked vertically totalling either 3, 6 or 9 buttons, dependent on the mode. The reach distance is adjustable (40- 90cm) and feet positioning is controlled to avoid cheating. Dependent on the mode, the participant presses the buttons either with the left, right or both hands at random. The appearance duration of the light-up buttons decreases over difficulty levels to make the game more challenging.	In-game feedback Number of buttons hit and streaks (i.e., hitting two or more buttons in a row with the prescribed hand) Post-game feedback Number of buttons hit. Bonus points for streaks which add up dependent on the number of buttons hit in a row. You lose the streak when hitting a button with the wrong hand. Web portal feedback Prescribed and active minutes Number of functional reaches		
Basketball	A sit-to-stand exergame to train dynamic balance and lower-limb muscle strength. The goal is to score as many points as possible by completing sit-to-stand or squat-to-stand movements (a game-mode setting) to spawn a set of three basketballs, and throw them into the hoop. The number of required sit-to-stand or squat-to- stand movements to earn basketballs increases over difficulty levels to make the game more challenging.	In-game feedback Number of prescribed and performed sit- or squat-to-stands, number of basketballs scored Post-game feedback Number of sit- or squat-to-stands BASKETERNII BASKETERNII BASKETERNII Web portal feedback Prescribed and active minutes Number of sit-to-stands		
Puzzle Walk	A goal-directed walking exergame to train gait, dynamic balance, turning, stopping and functional reaching. The goal is to find puzzle pieces in the room, pick them up by reaching the hand to them and place them on the ease! to complete the puzzle before the time runs out. The required reaching height to collect the puzzle pieces is adjustable (selection from high, hip- level, knee-level or floor-level or floor-level or difficulty levels to make the game more challenging.	In-game feedback Number of prescribed and collected Puzzle pieces, time left Post-game feedback Number of collected puzzle pieces within the set game duration (bonus points for every second left on the clock) Web portal feedback Prescribed and active minutes Meters walked Number of functional reaches		

Procedure

Duration: 12 weeks.

Study setting: Gait laboratory at the Department of Human Movement Sciences of Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam (three visits, baseline [t0], pre-intervention [t1], and post-intervention [t2]) and participants' home environments (one home visit to set up the Wi-Fi connection for the AR glasses to be able to remotely monitor and prescribe gait-and-balance exergames, to select a safe exergaming space in the home and link this to the AR glasses and to instruct the participant how to operate, charge, and store the AR glasses, followed by 6-week independent but remotely monitored exergaming with weekly telephone calls to personalise remotely prescribed exergaming schedules).

As visualised in Fig. 2, the feasibility trial comprises:

- three visits to the gait laboratory to evaluate potential intervention effects vis-à-vis potential learning effects in outcomes over repeated measurements during the waitlist-control period (first laboratory visit: baseline assessment [t0], second laboratory visit: pre-intervention assessment [t1], and the third laboratory visit: post-intervention assessment [t2]),
- one home visit to set up the AR glasses for independent but remotely monitored use
- five semi-structured telephone calls (as detailed in Additional file 3) to enquire about adherence, performance, and safety (including (serious) adverse events in relevant classes [29, 30] using questions like 'Did you fall at any time during the training this week?, 'Did you nearly fall at any time during the training this week?, 'Did you experience any physical problems during training this week, such as dizziness, eye strain, headache or something else ...'), usability (including technical issues) and perceived usefulness of the intervention, as well as to decide on the gaitand-balance exergaming schedule for the subsequent week in collaboration with the user (i.e. shared decision-making).

In between gait-laboratory visits 1 and 2 (i.e. t0 and t1, delineating the 6-week waitlist-control period), participants will not receive any instructions or training regarding Reality DTx[®] and will carry out their activities and care as usual. The Reality DTx[®] AR therapeutic exergaming intervention is intended as an intervention additional to usual care. Therefore, there will be no restrictions to care as usual during the 6-week intervention period, except for changing the dosage of medication (see exclusion criteria). Usual care and potential changes therein will be reported over the 6-week waitlist-control period as well as over the 6-week Reality DTx[®] intervention



Fig. 2 Overview of the study design with the three laboratory assessments (t0, t1, t2), the 6-week waitlist-control and Reality DTx[®] intervention periods, the counterbalancing of AR glasses over participants, and the weekly telephone calls to personalise participants' gait-and-balance exergaming schedule

period. In between laboratory visits 2 and 3 (i.e. t1 and t2, delineating the 6-week Reality $DTx^{\text{(B)}}$ intervention period), participants will independently use Reality $DTx^{\text{(B)}}$, remotely monitored and prescribed personalised AR gait-and-balance therapeutic exergaming in their home environment.

Laboratory assessments (t0, t1, t2)

Table 1 provides an overview of the data collected during the baseline (t0), pre-intervention (t1), and postintervention (t2) laboratory assessments, which include demographic data, questionnaire data, and standard clinical gait-and-balance test data. Participants will also undergo a gait assessment on the Interactive Walkway (IWW), a validated instrumented 10-m walkway for markerless full-body 3D motion registration [31-35] to assess gait parameters during a standard 10-m walk test (e.g. walking speed, step length, cadence) and to perform a targeted walking-related fall-risk assessment, focusing on walking-adaptability tasks using projections on the walkway to elicit step or gait adjustments for precision stepping, sudden turning, sudden obstacle avoidance, and narrow-beam walking [32, 34, 35]. The order of the gait-and-balance tests (i.e. TUG, FTSTS, Mini-BESTest, and IWW) will be counterbalanced over participants but remains fixed per participant over t0, t1, and t2 laboratory visits.

During baseline (t0) and pre-intervention (t1) assessments, participants will get the opportunity to practice and familiarise themselves with the Reality $DTx^{\textcircled{B}}$ gaitand-balance exergames. After the post-intervention assessment (t2), participants will be asked to participate in a semi-structured scripted on-site or telephone interview (as detailed in Additional file 5) to evaluate Reality $DTx^{\text{(B)}}$ therapy including various feasibility aspects regarding usability, safety, perceived efficacy, performance, context-specific factors of the training, FITT principles, the commercial potential, and to gather feedback for improvements. The interview is partly based on the theoretical framework of acceptability [36, 37]. The interview data is supplemented with existing scales for user experience [38], intrinsic motivation [39], and acceptability [40]. After this final evaluation interview, participants will receive an individual report about their performance during the Reality $DTx^{\text{(B)}}$ exergaming intervention and their gait-and-balance test scores over t0, t1, and t2.

Participants are invited to participate in an optional fourth lab visit, somewhere during the intervention period, for a stand-alone experiment on the gait-modifying effects of AR cues and to assess concurrent validity and test-retest reliability of the clinical outcome measures of gait and balance derived from the AR glasses. This optional laboratory visit is beyond the scope of the feasibility study and will therefore not be addressed further in this protocol.

Home visit

A researcher will visit participants' homes to set up the AR glasses (either HoloLens 2 or Magic Leap 2) and define a safe space for home-based gait-and-balance exergaming. Both AR glasses are non-occluding (see-through lenses) and use a form of simultaneous localisation and mapping (SLAM) to anchor holographic content in the

real world, which allows users to control the Reality DTx[®] software using hand tracking (e.g. pressing a holographic button) and voice commands. The AR glasses differ in weight (HoloLens 2 is untethered and weighs 566 grammes which is heavier than the Magic Leap 2 which is a tethered device (260 grammes, at the expense of a cable connecting the glasses to a waist-worn computer and battery pack)), AR field of view (double for Magic Leap), hand-tracking quality (better for HoloLens than for Magic Leap, which comes with a hand-held controller; in the proposed study, participants will be encouraged to use their hands to control Reality DTx[®] instead of the controller), battery life (HoloLens 2-3 h, Magic Leap 3.5 h), and corrective eyewear (HoloLens can be worn over individual's prescription glasses, for Magic Leap prescription lenses can be ordered and inserted).

During this home visit, participants will receive printed and oral instructions on how to (safely) use the AR glasses and will then do a second supervised Reality $DTx^{(0)}$ gait-and-balance exergaming session with the researcher. Participants receive a diary to rate their experience after every exergaming session on a 5-point Likert scale. They will also be asked to administer the exercise day and how many times they played each therapeutic exergame. Potential falls [41] and technical issues are also noted. Participants may write down any points they want to discuss during the weekly telephone call (see Additional file 2 for details on the diary).

Remote monitoring of gait-and-balance exergaming adherence and performance and telephone calls to personalise the remote prescription of exergaming schedules

The Reality DTx[®] web portal is designed by Strolll as an online e-portal for healthcare professionals to prescribe, monitor, and adjust the Reality DTx[®] gait-and-balance

exergaming intervention and to remotely track the participant's progress (Fig. 3). In the proposed study, the web portal will be used to remotely prescribe personalised exergaming schedules on a weekly basis (Fig. 3A) and to monitor the participant's adherence and performance remotely (Fig. 3B, C). The initial training schedule (frequency, difficulty, type, and duration) is created by the researchers based on the supervised Reality DTx[®] familiarisation and practice sessions during the laboratory visits (t0, t1) and home visit. The training schedule will be adjusted by the researcher every week through the Reality DTx[®] web portal. Adjustments are made in close collaboration with the participants based on their subjective experiences evaluated during the weekly semi-structured telephone call (see Additional file 3) and based on the (objective) adherence (performed gait-and-balance exergames as a percentage of the prescribed gait-and-balance exergames) and performance (the score per game) scores available in the Reality DTx[®] web portal. The weekly telephone calls will also be used to ask if participants experienced any technical issues (usability flags) or adverse events (safety flags).

Participants and recruitment

We aim to recruit 24 participants with PD. Recruitment started in December 2022. According to planning, the final post-intervention assessment (t2) will be in July 2023. Participants will be recruited using various channels: through regular clinical care (i.e. via neurologists and physiotherapists in our network) and via presentations at various Parkinson community groups hosted by the Dutch Parkinson Association and through the website of the Dutch Parkinson Association. People who are interested in participating will receive detailed written information about the study before consenting to participate in this study. The information letter was drafted



Fig. 3 Snapshots of the web portal to remotely prescribe (**A**) and monitor (**B** and **C**) gait-and-balance exergames. Please see Table 2 for a description of all adjustable Reality $DTx^{(B)}$ gait-and-balance exergaming elements per game

in consultation with patient representatives of the Dutch Parkinson Association and approved by the medical ethical committee. At least 1 week after receiving the information letter, potential participants will be contacted through telephone calls by the researchers to check whether they understood the information letter and to answer any questions. After affirming that a potential participant is willing to participate, the following eligibility criteria will be checked.

Inclusion criteria

To be eligible to participate in this study, participants must meet the following criteria: 18 years or older, have command of the Dutch language, diagnosed with PD according to the UK PD Brain Bank criteria (stages 2–4 on the Hoehn and Yahr scale), and experience bothersome gait and/or balance impairments based on selfreport (i.e. negatively affecting their ability to perform their usual daily activities).

Exclusion criteria

Potential participants will be excluded from participation in this study when meeting any of the following criteria: inability to comply with the protocol, i.e. additional neurological diseases and/or orthopaedic problems seriously interfering with gait-and-balance function, insufficient physical capacity or cognitive/communicative inability to understand instructions and participate in the tests (as observed by the researchers), visual or hearing impairments (after corrective aids), severe visual hallucinations or illusions, inability to walk independently for 30 min, and no stable dosages of dopaminergic medication.

After passing the telephonic eligibility screening, participants will be invited to the gait laboratory where they will sign for written informed consent (see Additional file 1) before the start of the baseline assessment. They will be informed explicitly about the possibility to be excluded from the study after baseline based on an objective assessment of the eligibility criteria and/or serious safety concerns. To this end, participants' demographics will be documented to characterise the study population in terms of age, gender, disease duration, current medication use (type, dose, and frequency), and scores on Movement Disorders Society Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS), Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and falls history. Safety and eligibility concerns will be based on performed motor/cognitive/falls-risk assessments as well as a supervised Reality DTx[®] gaitand-balance exergaming session during t0. The entire baseline assessment session will be recorded on video to discuss participants' safety and eligibility concerns among the researchers, who will then jointly decide on exclusion or not. Reasons for potential exclusion will be documented as part of the feasibility evaluation.

Randomisation, blinding, and treatment allocation

In this waitlist-controlled clinical feasibility study, all participants will receive Reality DTx®, a home-based remotely monitored and prescribed personalised AR gait-and-balance therapeutic exergaming intervention. The intervention will be delivered through two different types of AR glasses (i.e. HoloLens 2 or Magic Leap 2), which will be distributed over participants using block randomisation in blocks of four and at the end of recruitment in blocks of two to increase the likelihood for equal groups given the potential exclusion of participants after the baseline assessment and potential unforeseen recruitment issues (executed by LH and EH). Hence, half of the participants will receive the Reality DTx® intervention on HoloLens 2 and the other half on Magic Leap 2. The participants and researchers will not be blinded for the allocated AR glasses.

Sample size

For the proposed study, in which Reality DTx[®] therapeutic gait-and-balance exergaming will for the first time be used independently at home, we will include a convenience sample of 24 participants to evaluate its initial feasibility (safety, adherence, and user experience) and potential efficacy for improving gait and balance. This sample size fits this type of study and is logistically feasible given the associated number of laboratory visits (72), weekly telephone calls (120), and home visits (24) vis-àvis the availability of the gait laboratory and personnel, project duration, and funding. In addition, a sample of 24 participants is sufficient to evaluate the potential efficacy of this exergaming intervention. That is, with 95% power, a one-tailed alpha error of 5% and an expected minimum improvement of 1.63 s on the Timed Up-and-Go test (i.e. smallest detectable difference [42], a sample size of 18 is required to detect an effect with an effect size of 0.815 (Cohen's d statistic; SD over repeated measurements 2.0 s [43]). This a priori required sample size calculation for differences between two dependent means was calculated with G*Power 3.1.9.7.

Outcomes

Primary study parameter

The primary study parameters to evaluate the homebased AR gait-and-balance exergaming intervention are feasibility and potential efficacy:

Feasibility is expressed in:

• Safety, i.e. the number of (serious) adverse events categorised as falls, near falls, dizziness, eyestrain,

headache, or other [29, 30] associated with the exergaming intervention, as administered during weekly semi-structured telephone calls and the Reality DTx[®] evaluation interview. A fall is defined as a slip or trip in which one loses balance and lands on the floor, ground, or lower level [41]. A near fall is defined as a slip, trip, or loss of balance that would result in a fall if adequate recovery mechanisms were not activated [41].

- Adherence, i.e. compliance to the prescribed personalised exergaming intervention as measured in frequency (ratio of performed to prescribed number of exergaming sessions), time (i.e. duration, the ratio of performed to prescribed exergaming minutes per session), and repetitions (number of performed ingame functional motor tasks like number of squats, metres walked, number of functional reaches, ...) as recorded in the web portal on a weekly basis throughout the 6-week Reality DTx[®] intervention period, as well as the number of dropout participants, including reason(s) for withdrawal if specified,
- User experience, i.e. (1) usability based on the Dutch 26-item User-Experience Questionnaire [38] administered at t2, (2) the number and nature of the reported technological issues (e.g. AR glasses, software, Wi-Fi) during the 6-week intervention period, (3) acceptability based on a previously used intervention evaluation Likert-scale questionnaire [40] (as specified in Additional file 4) at t2, and (4) a semistructured evaluation interview (as described previously and specified in Additional file 5) at t2 [36, 37].

Potential efficacy of the Reality DTx[®] intervention will be explored using gait-and-balance outcome measures from the following standard clinical and IWW gait-andbalance tests, all assessed at all three timepoints (t0, t1, and t2, see Table 1):

- Balance: Mini Balance Evaluation Systems Test [44],
- Functional mobility: Timed Up-and-Go test (s) [45],
- Gait mobility: Lindop Parkinson's Physiotherapy Assessment Scale [46],
- Walking adaptability: Targeted walking-related fallrisk assessment based on outcome measures of walking adaptability as determined with the IWW (obstacle avoidance margins and success rates and stepping accuracy and walking speed during goal-directed stepping) [31–35].

Secondary study parameters

Secondary study parameters include additional gaitand-balance outcome measures and patient-reported outcome or experience measures (PROMs/PREMs) to further evaluate the feasibility and potential efficacy, assessed online or in the laboratory at three time points (t0, t1, and t2, see Table 1):

- Lower limb strength: Five Times Sit to Stand Test [47],
- Motor disease severity: Scores of Movement Disorders Society Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS) [48],
- Presence of freezing of gait: New Freezing of Gait Questionnaire [49],
- Balance confidence: Activities-Specific Balance Confidence Scale [50],
- Fear of falling: Falls Efficacy Scale International [51],
- Physical activity: Physical Activity Scale for the Elderly [52],
- Quality of life: Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire [53],

assessed online after the 6-week Reality DTx^{\otimes} intervention period:

 Intrinsic motivation: Intrinsic Motivation Inventory [39, 54].

Discontinuation or modification of allocated intervention

Participants can leave the study at any time for any reason if they wish to do so without any consequences. The investigator can decide to withdraw a participant from the study for urgent medical reasons or when medication dosage is changed during the study. Participants who drop out or who withdraw before commencing the intervention (that is, before the home visit) will be replaced by a newly recruited participant. Reasons for dropout or withdrawal will be collected. In case of unforeseen circumstances such as illness, technical issues or holidays that interrupt the planned training period, and if the participant is physically able and willing to resume the training once the unforeseen circumstance has been resolved, the training period will be extended to compensate for the missed days.

Premature termination of the study

The study will be terminated prematurely if serious adverse events (SAE, like injurious falls) related to the Reality DTx[®] intervention are reported for more than two participants. Liability insurance is in place in accordance with the legal requirements in The Netherlands, specifically Article 7 of the Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Act (in Dutch: Wet Medisch-wetenschappelijk Onderzoek met Mensen, WMO). This insurance provides

cover for damage to research participants through injury or death caused by the study. The insurance applies to the damage that becomes apparent during the study or within 4 years after the end of the study.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis will be performed in JASP [55]. Missing data will be excluded analysis-by-analysis. The Reality $DTx^{$ [®] semi-structured evaluation interview will be analysed qualitatively.

Feasibility of Reality DTx[®]

Study parameters to evaluate clinical feasibility (safety, adherence, user experience) of the Reality $DTx^{(0)}$ intervention will be compared between the two groups (Holo-Lens 2, Magic Leap 2) using independent-sample *t*-tests. The Shapiro–Wilk test will be used to check for normality. If the data is not normally distributed, the Mann–Whitney *U*-test will be used. Weekly scores of feasibility parameters (e.g. adherence scores over the intervention period) will undergo 2 (between-subjects factor Group: HoloLens 2 vs Magic Leap 2)×6 (within-subjects factor Weeks: week 1 to 6) mixed ANOVA.

Potential efficacy of Reality DTx[®]

The study parameters to evaluate potential efficacy of the Reality DTx[®] intervention will be subjected to a 2×3 mixed ANOVA with the within-subject factor Time (three levels: t0, t1, and t2) and the between-subjects factor Group (two levels: HoloLens 2, Magic Leap 2). The assumption of sphericity will be checked according to Girden [56]. If Greenhouse–Geisser's epsilon exceeds 0.75, the Huynh–Feldt correction will be applied; otherwise, the Greenhouse–Geisser correction will be used. Effect sizes will be quantified with η_p^2 .

Paired-sample t-tests will be used for post hoc comparisons of significant main and/or interaction effects involving the factor Time (or paired-sample Wilcoxon tests if data is not normally distributed according to the Shapiro–Wilk test). We expect no main effect of Group, nor a Time by Group interaction, but only a main effect of Time, with superior performance after the Reality DTx[®] intervention (t2) than before (t0, t1). In case t1 differs significantly from t0 as well (which may suggest learning/ habituation in repeated test performance), an additional test on difference scores will then be performed to compare the magnitudes of intervention (t2-t1) and learning/ habituation (t1-t0) effects with a paired-samples *t*-test (or paired-samples Wilcoxon tests if data is not normally distributed according to the Shapiro-Wilk test). We expect that potential intervention effects will be greater in magnitude than potential learning/habitation effects.

Because this is a feasibility trial, these comparisons will also be evaluated with Bayesian hypothesis testing [57, 58] using JASP [55], quantifying how much more likely the data support the alternative hypothesis (gait-andbalance outcomes differ over Time or Groups) compared to the null-hypothesis (gait-and-balance outcomes do not differ over Time or Groups), reported as the Bayes factor BF₁₀ (alternative/null). In line with Jeffreys [57], we regard BF₁₀-values between 1 and 3 as anecdotal evidence, values between 3 and 10 as moderate evidence, and values above 10 as strong evidence for the alternative hypotheses.

Discussion

This is the first remotely prescribed and monitored, personalised home-based AR therapeutic exergaming intervention specifically designed for pwPD to address their gait-and-balance impairments. The primary objective is to assess its feasibility (is it safe, adherable, accepted, and usable?) and to explore its potential efficacy (does it improve gait-and-balance outcomes?). The secondary objective is to evaluate AR glasses' superiority in those regards (does it matter which AR glasses are used for performing, monitoring, and prescribing gait-and-balance exergaming?).

Improving gait-and-balance exergaming with AR

To date, research into exergaming in Parkinson's rehabilitation almost primarily focused on interventions presented on so-called non-immersive devices (e.g. Xbox Kinect or Nintendo Wii) [22, 59-63]. In several recent reviews, non-immersive exergaming interventions were considered at least equivalent in effectiveness for improving gait and balance and strengthening the effects of traditional supervised physiotherapy when combined [59-62]. A recent systematic review on home-based exergaming interventions concluded that exergaming in a home setting is effective in improving balance, mobility, and gait outcomes. Moreover, adherence to homebased exergaming was high, operationalised in terms of observance, enjoyment, and number of dropouts [22]. Therapeutic exergaming thus has strong potential for improving gait and balance. This form of delivering exercise may be particularly well suited for pwPD given that they are less inclined to engage in exercise due to motor symptoms (e.g. cardinal symptoms affecting range of motion) as well as non-motor symptoms (e.g. apathy, fatigue, anxiety and fear of falling) that reduce physical activity [21, 64, 65].

In the proposed clinical feasibility study, immersive AR technology will for the first time be used to deliver interactive exergaming for improving gait and balance in pwPD. One obvious advantage of AR exergaming is that one can directly interact with the digital content (i.e. physically step onto a digital mole popping out a molehill visible on the floor in the real world): there is no separation between the real and the digital world, allowing for task-specific training of visuomotor control of gait and balance. This stands in stark contrast with non-immersive or VR exergaming technologies where the interaction between movements made in the real world and the presented digital content is typically indirect (i.e. one can position a visual-feedback representation of a step made in the real world towards a mole popping out a molehill displayed on a screen .: Because of this indirect visuomotor coupling, the required magnitude of movements made in the real world to position a digital visual-feedback representation on a digital target has to be learned. Considering the reliance on visual (augmented) feedback and attention for motor control in pwPD [66, 67], exergaming with direct interactions in immersive environments (in contrast to indirect interactions in nonimmersive or VR environments) might enhance perceptual-motor learning by directing attention and vision to task-relevant digital objects in the real world, that might, akin to real-world objects, act as affordances (i.e. possibilities) for action [66, 68, 69].

Safety of home-based AR exergaming

A key objective of clinical feasibility trials is to address safety and adverse events of novel interventions like the AR-supported gait-and-balance therapeutic exergaming intervention Reality DTx[®]. This is deemed especially important when the exergaming intervention is delivered in an unsupervised home setting in a high fall-risk population like ours. Although several systematic reviews have reported that non-immersive exergaming to train gait and balance in pwPD is safe for use in both rehabilitation and home settings [22, 59, 60, 62], some adverse events in pwPD have been reported with both non-immersive (a non-injurious fall in a home-based step training study [70]) and immersive exergaming interventions (eyestrain and minor motion sickness during a dancing intervention using Google Glass [71]). We do not expect many adverse effects in the form of eyestrain and motion sickness, which is guite common in VR but not or less so with AR, in the proposed feasibility study. That is, Reality DTx[®] runs on state-of-the-art AR glasses, where interactive augmented-reality content naturally blends with the real world and is largely static in case of the proposed study [29, 30]. Nevertheless, safety of use of immersive AR exergaming in the home setting of pwPD is yet to be determined.

To maximise safety of the participants in the current clinical feasibility study, the following recommendations have been implemented: (1) assess safety by the researchers (one of which is a trained clinician) during the first two laboratory assessments as well as via weekly semi-structured telephone calls during the 6-week intervention, (2) provide instructions on safety of use of the gait-and-balance exergames during the first two laboratory assessments and the home visit prior to the 6-weeks of home-based AR gait-and-balance exergaming, and (3) to tailor and adjust the gait-and-balance exergames to the functional level of the participant using remotely monitored objective information about adherence and performance as well as subjective information from weekly semi-structured telephone calls [22, 24, 62, 72].

Future steps after this feasibility trial

The results on feasibility and potential efficacy will identify methodological challenges for future randomised controlled trials [73] and form the basis for design choices (e.g. required sample size, primary outcome measures) regarding the effectiveness of home-based AR gait-and-balance interventions for pwPD. Specifically, the complementary selection of outcome measures, quantifying various aspects of gait and balance such as walking adaptability, dynamic balance, and strength, will give a comprehensive insight into the specific constructs tackled with Reality DTx® exergaming while the scores from baseline, pre-intervention, and post-intervention assessments will provide indications of obtainable effect sizes. Together, this will inform about the most specific and sensitive outcome measures to demonstrate gait-andbalance effects with remotely monitored and prescribed home-based AR therapeutic exergaming.

Such future studies will contribute to solving a societally important problem: accessibility to treatment. PD is a growing disease, and the number of pwPD is expected to double in the next two decades. This will increase the burden on already understaffed care, resulting in longer waitlists and suboptimal treatment. Technology like Reality DTx[®] to safely deliver effective treatment (partly) at home may help counter this doom scenario, allowing pwPD to complete personalised and monitored therapeutic gait-and-balance exercises of the right frequency, intensity, with high levels of repetition, type, and duration in the convenience of their own home (saving time, costs, and burden associated with travelling to the healthcare professional) and own time (exercising whenever they feel ready, taking into account fatigue, medication effects and matters of work, household, and family), while potentially saving the healthcare system time and costs (the same healthcare professional can treat more pwPD). Being able to remotely monitor adherence and performance of the gait-and-balance exercises is crucial to guard the quality of treatment and to personalise treatment frequency, intensity, type, and time.

Page 12 of 14

With the pwPD participating in this feasibility trial, we will evaluate safety, adherence, acceptability, and usability of such a new care model supported by AR technology while future randomised controlled trials should address its effect and cost-effectiveness.

Abbreviations

pwPD	People with Parkinson's disease				
AR	Augmented-reality				
VR	Virtual-reality				
PROMs	Patient-reported outcome measures				
PREMS	Patient-reported experience measures				
IWW	Interactive Walkway				
FITT	Frequency, Intensity, of the right Type and Time (i.e. duration) of an				
	exercise programme				
SLAM	Simultaneous localisation and mapping				
WMO	Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Act; in Dutch: Wet				
	Medisch-wetenschappelijk Onderzoek met Mensen				
AE	Any undesirable experience occurring to a participant during the				
	study, whether or not considered related to the medical device				
SAE	A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence				

A serious adverse event is any untoward medical occurrence or effect that: results in death, is life-threatening (at the time of the event), requires hospitalisation or prolongation of existing in patients' hospitalisation, results in persistent or significant disability or incapacity, is a congenital anomaly or birth defect; or any other important medical event that did not result in any of the outcomes listed above due to medical or surgical intervention but could have been based upon appropriate judgement by the investigator. An elective hospital admission will not be considered a serious adverse event

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s40814-024-01480-w.

Additional file 1. Informed consent. The informed consent format to be signed by the study participants.

Additional file 2. Participant diary. A diary provided to the participant at the start of the 6-week intervention period to be filled in after every exergaming session.

Additional file 3. Weekly phone call script. Semi-structured questions on adherence, performance, perceived usefulness, usability, potential technical issues, and adverse events.

Additional file 4. Reality $DTx^{(0)}$ evaluation questionnaire. Likert-scale questions to evaluate the acceptability of the intervention.

Additional file 5. Reality $DTx^{(0)}$ semi-structured evaluation interview script. Semi-structured interview questions addressing the participant's experiences with Reality $DTx^{(0)}$.

Additional file 6. Videos of Reality $DTx^{\text{@}}$ gait-and-balance exergames. Examples of participants performing the Reality $DTx^{\text{@}}$ games during a laboratory visit.

Additional file 7. SPIRIT checklist.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the EUreka Eurostars grant for providing financial support to this project.

Protocol amendments

Important protocol modifications in the form of changes to eligibility criteria, primary outcomes, and statistical analysis to the here proposed feasibility study protocol will be communicated to all relevant parties (i.e. the local ethics committee MEC-U regarding R22.076, NL82441.100.22 and the trial register ClinicalTrials.gov regarding NCT05605249).

Data management

Demographics, guestionnaires, and clinical tests will be collected on paper and will be entered into digital two-factor authentication secured drives (i.e. Research Drive and Castor EDC). Home questionnaires can also directly be entered into the digital Castor EDC database by the participants using an online questionnaire. All other data will be digitally collected according to Standard Operational Procedures and stored on a secured network drive of the VU. Personal data will be handled according to the EU General Data Protection Regulation and the Dutch Act on Implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation. Personal data to contact participants will be stored separately from other data and provided with an ID (two-digit number). An identification file with codes linking IDs to participant numbers (four-digit codes and six-digit codes for the Reality DTx[®] web portal) will be stored in a folder separate from the contact details file with IDs. Files with personal data to contact participants and the identification file can only be accessed with a password. Data collected on paper will be stored in a secured cabinet. Only the involved researchers will have access to the cabinet. Administrative data (logbooks for data collection and analysis, manuals, protocols) will be stored on Research Drive to improve interpretation and re-use of the data.

Authors' contributions

DG and MR (principal investigators) were responsible for the conceptualization. DG outlined the study protocol. LH outlined the pre-registered report. JN had an advisory role from his clinical and research expertise regarding the conceptualisation, study protocol, and pre-registered report. MR was responsible for supervision and funding acquisition. DG, EH, and LH are involved in the execution of the trial. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

This research is funded by EUreka Eurostars, Grant ID E115506.

Availability of data and materials

The results of this study will be published in international peer-reviewed journals and will be presented at national as well as international scientific conferences, Parkinson association meetings, and social media through professional channels. Anonymised research data will be published along with articles as supplemental material or will be made available in a repository and/or from the corresponding author on reasonable request and with permission of Strolll Limited for any Reality DTx^{the} data that fall under contractual agreement between Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam and Strolll Limited.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval has been obtained from the accredited medical ethical committee MEC-U, the Netherlands (R22.076, NL82441.100.22, under the title "CueX: a gamified gait-and-balance exercise intervention for augmented-reality glasses to improve Parkinsonian gait"). The trial has been registered on Clinicaltrials.gov (NCT05605249, November 4, 2022). Participants will provide their written informed consent obtained by researchers LH, DG, or EH before participating in this study.

Consent for publication

Written informed consent will be obtained from the participants for the publication of any potentially identifiable images, videos, or data included in this protocol and subsequent results papers.

Competing interests

This study is part of a collaboration between the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam and Strolll, the manufacturer of Reality DTx^{\textcircledm} , formalised in a consortium agreement associated with their joint EUreka Eurostars grant. Anonymised movement and/or environmental data from the AR glasses and information on exercise adherence and performance (e.g. tasks performed, hours spent on games) of the proposed study will be shared with Strolll for the further development of Reality DTx^{\textcircledm} . Per May 1, 2023, MR will work on a consultancy agreement for Strolll ancillary to his position as Associate Professor Technology in Motion at the Department of Human Movement Sciences of the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam.

Author details

¹Department of Human Movement Sciences, Faculty of Behavioural and Movement Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam Movement Sciences, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ²Department of Rehabilitation, Centre of Expertise for Parkinson & Movement Disorders, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ³Department of Rehabilitation, Sint Maartenskliniek, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

Received: 6 June 2023 Accepted: 12 March 2024 Published online: 27 March 2024

References

- 1. Ellis TD, Colón-Semenza C, DeAngelis TR, Thomas CA, Hilaire MS, Earhart GM, et al. Evidence for early and regular physical therapy and exercise in Parkinson's disease. Semin Neurol. 2021;41(2):189–205.
- 2. LeWitt PA, Chaudhuri KR. Unmet needs in Parkinson disease: motor and non-motor. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2020;80(Suppl 1):S7–s12.
- Hess CW, Hallett M. The phenomenology of Parkinson's disease. Semin Neurol. 2017;37(02):109–17.
- Nonnekes J, de Kam D, Oude Nijhuis LB, van Geel K, Bloem BR, Geurts A, et al. StartReact effects support different pathophysiological mechanisms underlying freezing of gait and postural instability in Parkinson's disease. PLoS One. 2015;10(3):e0122064.
- Gómez-Esteban JC, Zarranz JJ, Lezcano E, Tijero B, Luna A, Velasco F, et al. Influence of motor symptoms upon the quality of life of patients with Parkinson's disease. Eur Neurol. 2007;57(3):161–5.
- Allen NE, Sherrington C, Paul SS, Canning CG. Balance and falls in Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis of the effect of exercise and motor training. Mov Disord. 2011;26(9):1605–15.
- Keus S, Munneke M, Graziano M, Paltamaa J, Pelosin E, Domingos J, et al. European physiotherapy guideline for Parkinson's disease. Netherlands: KNGF/ParkinsonNet. 2014;191:1.
- Osborne JA, Botkin R, Colon-Semenza C, DeAngelis TR, Gallardo OG, Kosakowski H, et al. Physical therapist management of Parkinson disease: a clinical practice guideline from the American Physical Therapy Association. Physical Therapy. 2022;102(4):pzab302.
- 9. van Nimwegen M, Nijkrake M, van Ziekte Parkinson MM. KNGF-richtlijn Koninklijk Nederlands Genootschap voor Fysiotherapie augustus. 2017.
- Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Br J Sports Med. 2020;54(24):1451–62.
- Tomlinson CL, Patel S, Meek C, Herd CP, Clarke CE, Stowe R, et al. Physiotherapy versus placebo or no intervention in Parkinson's disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;2013(9):817.
- 12. van der Kolk NM, King LA. Effects of exercise on mobility in people with Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. 2013;28(11):1587–96.
- Goodwin VA, Richards SH, Taylor RS, Taylor AH, Campbell JL. The effectiveness of exercise interventions for people with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Mov Disord. 2008;23(5):631–40.
- Ni M, Hazzard JB, Signorile JF, Luca C. Exercise guidelines for gait function in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2018;32(10):872–86.
- Ellis T, Rochester L. Mobilizing Parkinson's disease: The Future of Exercise. J Parkinsons Dis. 2018;8(s1):S95–s100.
- Radder DLM, de Silva Lima Lígia A, Domingos J, Keus SHJ, van Nimwegen M, Bloem BR, et al. Physiotherapy in Parkinson's disease: a metaanalysis of present treatment modalities. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2020;34(10):871–80.
- Ernst M, Folkerts AK, Gollan R, Lieker E, Caro-Valenzuela J, Adams A, et al. Physical exercise for people with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2023;1(1):Cd013856.
- Schootemeijer S, van der Kolk NM, Ellis T, Mirelman A, Nieuwboer A, Nieuwhof F, et al. Barriers and motivators to engage in exercise for persons with Parkinson's disease. J Parkinsons Dis. 2020;10(4):1293–9.
- Ellis T, Boudreau JK, DeAngelis TR, Brown LE, Cavanaugh JT, Earhart GM, et al. Barriers to exercise in people with Parkinson disease. Phys Ther. 2013;93(5):628–36.

- Page 13 of 14
- 20. Pickering RM, Fitton C, Ballinger C, Fazakarley L, Ashburn A. Self reported adherence to a home-based exercise programme among people with Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2013;19(1):66–71.
- 21. Lindop F, Skelly R. Parkinson's disease: an interdisciplinary guide to management. United States: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2021.
- 22. Gallou-Guyot M, Nuic D, Mandigout S, Compagnat M, Welter ML, Daviet JC, et al. Effectiveness of home-based rehabilitation using active video games on quality of life, cognitive and motor functions in people with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. Disabil Rehabil. 2022;44:8222–33.
- 23. Barry G, Galna B, Rochester L. The role of exergaming in Parkinson's disease rehabilitation: a systematic review of the evidence. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2014;11:33.
- Palacios-Navarro G, García-Magariño I, Ramos-Lorente P. A kinect-based system for lower limb rehabilitation in Parkinson's disease patients: a pilot study. J Med Syst. 2015;39(9):103.
- Cano Porras D, Siemonsma P, Inzelberg R, Zeilig G, Plotnik M. Advantages of virtual reality in the rehabilitation of balance and gait: systematic review. Neurology. 2018;90(22):1017–25.
- Janssen S, De Ruyter Van Steveninck J, Salim HS, Bloem BR, Heida T, Van Wezel RJ. The beneficial effects of conventional visual cues are retained when augmented reality glasses are worn. Parkinson's Disease. 2020;2020:4104712.
- Geerse DJ, Coolen B, van Hilten JJ, Roerdink M. Holocue: a wearable holographic cueing application for alleviating freezing of gait in Parkinson's disease. Frontiers in Neurology. 2022:314.
- Team J. JASP (version 0.18.1). [computer software]. Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam; 2023.
- 29. Kaufeld M, Mundt M, Forst S, Hecht H. Optical see-through augmented reality can induce severe motion sickness. Displays. 2022;74:102283.
- Vovk A, Wild F, Guest W, Kuula T. Simulator sickness in augmented reality training using the Microsoft HoloLens. Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. Montreal: Association for Computing Machinery; 2018. p. 209.
- Geerse D, Roerdink M, Marinus J, van Hilten J, editors. Fall risk assessments with the Interactive Walkway. SMALLL congres 2017; 2017.
- Geerse DJ, Coolen B, Roerdink M. Quantifying spatiotemporal gait parameters with HoloLens in healthy adults and people with Parkinson's disease: test-retest reliability, concurrent validity, and face validity. Sensors. 2020;20(11):3216.
- Geerse DJ, Coolen BH, Roerdink M. Kinematic validation of a multi-kinect v2 instrumented 10-meter walkway for quantitative gait assessments. PLoS One. 2015;10(10):e0139913.
- Geerse DJ, Roerdink M, Marinus J, van Hilten JJ. Assessing walking adaptability in Parkinson's disease: "The Interactive Walkway." Front Neurol. 2018;9:1096.
- Geerse DJ, Roerdink M, Marinus J, van Hilten JJ. Walking adaptability for targeted fall-risk assessments. Gait Posture. 2019;70:203–10.
- Sekhon M, Cartwright M, Francis JJ. Acceptability of healthcare interventions: an overview of reviews and development of a theoretical framework. BMC Health Serv Res. 2017;17(1):88.
- Gerards MHG, Sieben J, Marcellis R, Bie RAd, Meijer K, Lenssen AF. Acceptability of a perturbation-based balance training programme for falls prevention in older adults: a qualitative study. BMJ Open. 2022;12(2):e056623.
- Laugwitz B, Held T, Schrepp M. Construction and evaluation of a user experience questionnaire. 2008. p. 63–76.
- Ryan RM, Deci EL. Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation from a self-determination theory perspective: Definitions, theory, practices, and future directions. Contemp Educ Psychol. 2020;61:101860.
- Timmermans C, Roerdink M, Janssen TWJ, Beek PJ, Meskers CGM. Automatized, standardized, and patient-tailored progressive walking-adaptability training: a proof-of-concept study. Phys Ther. 2019;99(7):882–92.
- Stack E, Ashburn A. Fall events described by people with Parkinson's disease: implications for clinical interviewing and the research agenda. Physiother Res Int. 1999;4(3):190–200.
- 42. Lim L, Van Wegen E, De Goede C, Jones D, Rochester L, Hetherington V, et al. Measuring gait and gait-related activities in Parkinson's patients own home environment: a reliability, responsiveness and feasibility study. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2005;11(1):19–24.

- 43. Huang S-L, Hsieh C-L, Wu R-M, Tai C-H, Lin C-H, Lu W-S. Minimal detectable change of the Timed "Up & Go"Test and the Dynamic Gait Index in people with Parkinson disease. Phys Ther. 2011;91(1):114–21.
- Godi M, Arcolin I, Giardini M, Corna S, Schieppati M. Responsiveness and minimal clinically important difference of the Mini-BESTest in patients with Parkinson's disease. Gait Posture. 2020;80:14–9.
- Mollinedo I, Ma CJ. Evaluation of the psychometric properties and clinical applications of the Timed Up and Go test in Parkinson disease: a systematic review. J Exerc Rehabil. 2020;16(4):302–12.
- Pearson M, Lindop F, Mockett S, Saunders L. Validity and inter-rater reliability of the Lindop Parkinson's Disease Mobility Assessment: a preliminary study. Physiotherapy. 2009;95(2):126–33.
- Duncan RP, Leddy AL, Earhart GM. Five times sit-to-stand test performance in Parkinson's disease. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2011;92(9):1431–6.
- Goetz CG, Tilley BC, Shaftman SR, Stebbins GT, Fahn S, Martinez-Martin P, et al. Movement Disorder Society-sponsored revision of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS): Scale presentation and clinimetric testing results. Mov Disord. 2008;23(15):2129–70.
- Giladi N, Tal J, Azulay T, Rascol O, Brooks DJ, Melamed E, et al. Validation of the freezing of gait questionnaire in patients with Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. 2009;24(5):655–61.
- Winser SJ, Kannan P, Bello UM, Whitney SL. Measures of balance and falls risk prediction in people with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review of psychometric properties. Clin Rehabil. 2019;33(12):1949–62.
- Mehdizadeh M, Habibi S, Martinez-Martin P, Taghizadeh G, Fereshtehnejad S-M. Reliability and validity of fall efficacy scale-international in people with Parkinson's disease during on- and off-drug phases. J Parkinsons Dis. 2019;6505232.
- Washburn RA, Smith KW, Jette AM, Janney CA. The physical activity scale for the elderly (pase): development and evaluation. J Clin Epidemiol. 1993;46(2):153–62.
- Marinus J, Visser M, Jenkinson C, Stiggelbout AM. Evaluation of the Dutch version of the Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire 39. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2008;14(1):24–7.
- McAuley E, Duncan T, Tammen VV. Psychometric properties of the Intrinsic Motivation Inventory in a competitive sport setting: a confirmatory factor analysis. Res Q Exerc Sport. 1989;60(1):48–58.
- 55. Team J. JASP (version 0.18.1) [Computer software]. 2023.
- Girden E. ANOVA. Thousand Oaks, California1992. Available from: https:// methods-sagepub-com.vu-nl.idm.oclc.org/book/anova.
- Jeffreys HS. Theory of probability / by Harold Jeffreys. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1961.
- Kruschke JK, Liddell TM. Bayesian data analysis for newcomers. Psychon Bull Rev. 2018;25(1):155–77.
- Lei C, Sunzi K, Dai F, Liu X, Wang Y, Zhang B, et al. Effects of virtual reality rehabilitation training on gait and balance in patients with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review. PLoS One. 2019;14(11):e0224819.
- Chen Y, Gao Q, He CQ, Bian R. Effect of virtual reality on balance in individuals with Parkinson disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Phys Ther. 2020;100(6):933–45.
- 61. Santos P, Scaldaferri G, Santos L, Ribeiro N, Neto M, Melo A. Effects of the Nintendo Wii training on balance rehabilitation and quality of life of patients with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. NeuroRehabilitation. 2019;44(4):569–77.
- 62. Garcia-Agundez A, Folkerts AK, Konrad R, Caserman P, Tregel T, Goosses M, et al. Recent advances in rehabilitation for Parkinson's disease with exergames: a systematic review. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2019;16(1):17.
- 63. Silva KG, De Freitas TB, Doná F, Ganança FF, Ferraz HB, Torriani-Pasin C, et al. Effects of virtual rehabilitation versus conventional physical therapy on postural control, gait, and cognition of patients with Parkinson's disease: study protocol for a randomized controlled feasibility trial. Pilot Feasibility Stud. 2017;3:68.
- 64. Chong T, Bonnelle V, Manohar S, Veromann KR, Muhammed K, Tofaris GK, et al. Dopamine enhances willingness to exert effort for reward in Parkinson's disease. Cortex. 2015;69:40–6.
- Lord S, Godfrey A, Galna B, Mhiripiri D, Burn D, Rochester L. Ambulatory activity in incident Parkinson's: more than meets the eye? J Neurol. 2013;260(12):2964–72.
- Olson M, Lockhart TE, Lieberman A. Motor learning deficits in Parkinson's disease (PD) and their effect on training response in gait and balance: a narrative review. Front Neurol. 2019;10:62.

- Vitório R, Gobbi LT, Lirani-Silva E, Moraes R, Almeida QJ. Synchrony of gaze and stepping patterns in people with Parkinson's disease. Behav Brain Res. 2016;307:159–64.
- Gibson J. The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception. Classic. Hoboken: Psychology Press & Routledge Classic Editions. Taylor and Francis; 1979.
- Gómez-Jordana LI, Stafford J, Peper CE, Craig CM. Virtual footprints can improve walking performance in people with Parkinson's disease. Frontiers in Neurology. 2018;9:681.
- Song J, Paul SS, Caetano MJD, Smith S, Dibble LE, Love R, et al. Homebased step training using videogame technology in people with Parkinson's disease: a single-blinded randomised controlled trial. Clin Rehabil. 2018;32(3):299–311.
- Tunur T, DeBlois A, Yates-Horton E, Rickford K, Columna LA. Augmented reality-based dance intervention for individuals with Parkinson's disease: a pilot study. Disabil Health J. 2020;13(2):100848.
- Galna B, Jackson D, Schofield G, McNaney R, Webster M, Barry G, et al. Retraining function in people with Parkinson's disease using the Microsoft kinect: game design and pilot testing. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2014;11:60.
- Golla A, Müller T, Wohlfarth K, Jahn P, Mattukat K, Mau W. Home-based balance training using Wii Fit[™]: a pilot randomised controlled trial with mobile older stroke survivors. Pilot and feasibility studies. 2018;4:1–10.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.